

**The codes of endolinguistics**

Alejandro Toledo Martínez

ELADEM

México, Septiembre 2, 2024

## Abstract

Este trabajo explora los fundamentos de la endolingüística y su relación con teorías lingüísticas y cognitivas clásicas. A través de un análisis interdisciplinario, se examinan los códigos binarios y ternarios descubiertos por la endolingüística, y se proponen como una base estructural para la creatividad lingüística en el cerebro humano. Se considera la influencia de la “gramática profunda” de Noam Chomsky como un marco conceptual que permite entender cómo el cerebro no solo almacena y reproduce el lenguaje, sino que lo recrea constantemente, generando nuevas expresiones lingüísticas. Además, se discuten los niveles profundos del lenguaje, tanto desde la perspectiva heideggeriana como desde la aportación de la Fundación Dr. J. Meulemans. Se introduce la metalógica intersistémica como un método para comparar macrosistemas de lenguas, resaltando la importancia de reconocer patrones psíquicos comunes. Este enfoque holístico y comparativo permite una mejor comprensión de los retos y potencialidades del análisis lingüístico en un contexto global y tecnológicamente avanzado.

This paper explores the foundations of endolinguistics and its relationship with classical linguistic and cognitive theories. Through an interdisciplinary analysis, the binary and ternary codes discovered by endolinguistics are examined and proposed as a structural basis for linguistic creativity in the human brain. The influence of Noam Chomsky’s “deep grammar” is considered as a conceptual framework that helps understand how the brain not only stores and reproduces language but also constantly recreates it, generating new linguistic expressions. Furthermore, the deep levels of language are discussed from both Heideggerian perspectives and the contributions of the Dr. J. Meulemans Foundation. Intersystemic metalogic is introduced as a method for comparing language macrosystems, highlighting the importance of recognizing common psychic patterns. This holistic and comparative approach allows for a better understanding of the challenges and potentialities of linguistic analysis in a global and technologically advanced context.

## The Codes of Endolinguistics

### **Introduction**

There are various ways to approach the linguistic phenomenon. Among them, linguistics as a science of language has advanced in its discoveries. Let's explore the evolution of language study more deeply.

The fascinating journey that began with comparative philology in the 19th century and later evolved into what we call linguistics is the scientific path we have chosen to approach the phenomenon of language. As heirs of the 20th century, linguistics is still a very young science with much to achieve and develop.

Modern linguistics began with Ferdinand de Saussure, whose work *Course in General Linguistics* (1916) laid the foundations for structuralism, a movement that viewed language as a system of signs where the relationship between signifier and signified is arbitrary (Saussure, 1916). Before Saussure, linguistics was dominated by historical and comparative studies, such as those carried out by the Neogrammarians in Germany at the end of the 19th century, who focused on phonetic change laws. After Saussure, structuralism expanded with linguists like Roman Jakobson, who applied structuralist principles to phonology (Jakobson, 1962), and Louis Hjelmslev, who developed glossematics, a more abstract approach to linguistic analysis (Hjelmslev, 1961).

In the second half of the 20th century, Noam Chomsky revolutionized the field with his theory of generative grammar, arguing that language is an innate capacity with underlying universal structures (Chomsky, 1957). Chomsky introduced concepts such as linguistic competence and universal grammar, challenging behaviorism and transforming linguistics into a key discipline within cognitive science. Other linguists contemporary to Chomsky, like Dell Hymes, developed the ethnography of communication, while William Labov founded sociolinguistics, which studies linguistic variability in social contexts (Labov, 1972). Together, these approaches have expanded the understanding of language, incorporating psychological, social, and cultural perspectives.

Ferdinand de Saussure laid the foundations of linguistics, yet we have advanced slowly with theoretical proposals that have caused controversy, from Chomsky's generative grammar to the great theories of Nostratic by Pedersen or Amerind by Greenberg (Greenberg, 1963). But let's go back a bit to the development of linguistics, as it will be our foundation for the exposition of the codes of endolinguistics.

Since the 1960s to the present, linguistics has undergone numerous scientific advances that have expanded and diversified the field of study. This period has seen the consolidation of formal theories, the emergence of interdisciplinary approaches, and the application of new technologies in linguistic research.

From the 1990s onwards, Noam Chomsky introduced the Minimalist Program, a development of his theory of generative grammar that seeks to reduce the complexity of grammatical rules to their most basic and economical form (Chomsky, 1995). Minimalism has influenced how linguists approach syntax and has driven research into the fundamental properties of language.

In parallel, generative semantics developed as an important branch, with linguists like Richard Montague proposing formalisms to connect syntax with the logical meaning of language (Montague, 1974). This approach has significantly influenced computational linguistics and artificial intelligence.

Another important development in the 1960s was William Labov's founding of sociolinguistics, a field that studies how language varies according to social factors such as class, gender, age, and region (Labov, 1972). Sociolinguistics has been crucial for understanding the dynamics of linguistic change and the interaction between language and society.

On the other hand, developments in pragmatics and discourse analysis, led by figures like Deborah Tannen and John Searle, have explored how context influences the interpretation of language, emphasizing the importance of speech acts and implicatures in communication (Searle, 1969).

The information revolution has driven the growth of computational linguistics, with advances in natural language processing (NLP), machine translation, and speech recognition. Researchers like Geoffrey Hinton and Yoshua Bengio have contributed to the development of language models based on neural networks and deep learning, revolutionizing the way machines understand and generate language (Hinton & Bengio, 2003).

Today, the creation and analysis of large text corpora have enabled the empirical study of linguistic patterns on a massive scale. This approach, promoted by linguists like John Sinclair, has been fundamental for lexicography, discourse analysis, and semantics (Sinclair, 1991).

Another important topic is the link between neurological research and language.

The study of how the brain processes language has advanced greatly since the 1960s. Researchers like Steven Pinker have explored topics such as language acquisition and the relationship between language and cognition (Pinker, 1994), while Elizabeth Bates and Michael Tomasello have challenged some of Chomsky's nativist ideas, proposing more interaction-based and usage-based approaches (Tomasello, 2003).

In recent decades, the use of neuroimaging (such as fMRI) has allowed scientists to observe the brain in action as it processes language. These studies have identified key brain regions for different aspects of language, such as Broca's area for speech production and Wernicke's area for comprehension.

In the analysis of linguistic systems as a whole, Joseph Greenberg was a pioneer in the study of linguistic typology, classifying languages according to their common structural characteristics (Greenberg, 1963). This field has grown, providing a more global view of the possibilities and limits of human language. In response to the threat of extinction of many languages, there has been a significant push in linguistic documentation, where linguists work to preserve endangered languages and study their structure and use before they disappear. Digital technology has been key to these initiatives.

Since the 1980s, cognitive linguistics has gained prominence, with figures like George Lakoff and Ronald Langacker proposing that language is deeply rooted in bodily and conceptual experience (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980). This perspective emphasizes conceptual metaphor and the idea that linguistic structures reflect thought structures.

In recent years, a more functionalist approach has developed in some academic circles, viewing language as an instrument of communication, influenced by social and pragmatic context. Linguists like Michael Halliday and Simon Dik have promoted the idea that the form of language is determined by its communicative functions (Halliday, 1985).

In critical theory and the philosophy of language, poststructuralists like Jacques Derrida have challenged classical structuralist notions, proposing that meaning is inherently unstable and that language is marked by ambiguity and difference (Derrida, 1967).

Psychoanalysis has been greatly influenced by linguistic theories. In Lacanian theory, language plays a central role in the structure of the unconscious and the formation of the subject. Jacques Lacan reinterprets Freudian psychoanalysis through structural linguistics, especially influenced by Saussure, proposing that "the unconscious is structured like a language" (Lacan, 1966).

Lacan argues that language is not just a means of communication but the framework within which the subject is constituted. Key concepts like the "Signifier" and the "Other" reflect how the subject's desire is mediated by the network of signifiers that precede and structure them, making language both a vehicle of meaning and a trap that perpetuates lack and desire. Lacanian psychoanalysis uses linguistics to explore how language, with its arbitrary and structural nature, shapes human experience and the unconscious, highlighting the importance of wordplay, slips, and ambiguity in psychoanalytic interpretation.

The globalization of media communication has increased interest in multilingualism and language contact, with research on how people manage multiple languages in their daily lives and how languages influence each other.

Finally, the digital era has generated new means of communication, affecting the evolution of language. The study of online communication, emojis, and the transformation of grammatical norms in instant messaging are emerging fields in contemporary linguistics.

In summary, linguistics has undergone notable expansion and diversification, with significant advances in formal theories, applied studies, and the integration of technology and cognitive science in language analysis. This evolution has allowed for a deeper and more multidimensional understanding of language, addressing its structure, use, processing, and evolution in an increasingly interconnected world.

The psychological, philosophical, physical, mathematical, and historical theories of the 20th century provided the foundation for modern ways of understanding the cosmos. Interdisciplinarity plays a fundamental role in the sciences of complexity, which seek to understand complex systems where multiple components interact non-linearly, giving rise to emerging patterns and behaviors that cannot be predicted simply by studying the individual parts (Morin, 1977).

Current linguistics uses mathematical and statistical models to analyze linguistic patterns that previously seemed arbitrary. Set theory, formal logic, and probabilistic models are fundamental tools in fields like computational linguistics and natural language processing (Montague, 1974).

Interdisciplinarity in science has been crucial for addressing the challenges of human complexity. This is how endolinguistics emerges, within the complex understanding of language not only as articulated language but also as thought and unthought language, evoking the philosophical debate on the relationship between language and thought.

Endolinguistics is the study of human language understood as the informatics of binary and ternary codes. This approach works in parallel with paralinguistics to discover and access the deep levels of language. Endolinguistics, together with comparative linguistics, seeks to discover philosophical bridges between languages and language systems (Elias &

Meulemans, 1994). Therefore, it suggests a more holistic approach, considering language not only as an external phenomenon but also as an internal cognitive process.

A fundamental tool in endolinguistics, discovered by neurologists Dr. José Angel Elias and Dr. Christiane Meulemans are the binary and ternary codes of human language. This discovery, along with their methodology of neurological stimulation, forms the core of the endolinguistic method for approaching language. The purpose of this document is to delve into these codes, explain their characteristics, and in another document, provide examples and analysis of their functionality.

What are Endolinguistic Codes?

Endolinguistic codes are logical-mathematical models. They are abstractions that are used methodologically by this science.

Endolinguistic codes are logical-mathematical models used methodologically during a session of endolinguistic stimulation to access the deep levels of a language.

According to endolinguistics, the deep levels of a language are: factual (Sprechen, speaking), hermeneutic (Sagen, saying), dianoetic (thinking, logical), and ethical (intuiting, metalogical). The first two were proposed by Martin Heidegger (1953) and the last two by the Dr. J. Meulemans Foundation, founded by the father of Dr. C.S. Meulemans (Dr. J. Meulemans Foundation, 2000).

By understanding these levels and the systemic meanings of these codes, previously unconscious conceptual relationships are brought to consciousness. This occurs because words that seem unrelated find logical and psychic relationships when their relationships are based on a specific pattern, that is, the code.

Although this conference will not explain in detail what the methodology of endolinguistic stimulation is, we will provide a brief summary, as it serves to deepen the use of endolinguistic codes.



The endolinguistic methodology consists of logical stimulation of the relevant brain areas responsible for prosody, tone, rhythm, musicality, and non-verbal language to promote conceptual integration with the language being appropriated by the participants in the session.

The codes have a psycho-historical and logical-mathematical foundation and are shared and reused by languages belonging to a specific linguistic system. They manifest systematically among languages.

The internal creativity of the human brain reproduces language in a poetic manner, using the underlying codes as a structural basis. However, paralinguistic substrates (rhythmic, tonal, and non-verbal) are responsible for the manifest differentiation of each language.

Simplistically, we could theorize that the generic structure of a language will contain both elements, namely:

1. Structural codes (binary, ternary, and apparently monadic)
2. Paralinguistic suprasegments

The codes themselves cannot form a language; they need prosody and underlying psychic elements to form human language. All linguistic structures, such as morphology, syntax, semantics, phonetics, etc., provide methodological rigor for the study, but we must remember that the endolinguistic goal is psychological and philosophical, as we seek to explore the hidden, internal language of human language.

We propose **intersystemic metalogic** as an analytical tool to compare language macrosystems. This tool allows comparability between macrosystemic codes. This comparability is not code equivalence but rather allows us to see common, though not universal, psychic patterns between macrosystems. Although historical (temporal) and structural factors must always be considered in any analysis with codes, in macro-systemic comparability, the challenges of affirming a relationship of code meanings become more evident.

It is important to emphasize that the study of codes used by endolinguistics is complemented by general linguistic study. Therefore, the codes do not compete with or contradict the discoveries of morphology, syntax, phonetics, and other elements used by linguistics and its branches. The methodological framework and rigorous use of endolinguistic codes are employed in the psychic context of a human group, understood as a collective unconscious that expands from its own language to its macrosystem.

### Characteristics of the Codes

- 1. Types of Codes: Binary and Ternary.** The endolinguistic codes discovered are of two types: binary and ternary. Binary codes are modeled from two consonantal elements. Ternary codes are modeled from three. According to our explorations, there are no codes of a single element. Elements with a single consonantal sound generate psychic sensations based on the macro-system used, but when integrated into a binary or ternary, they become part of a code. In the publication *Originating Sensations* (Toledo, 2013), we presented the common psychic sensations in the Indo-Iranian-European system.
- 2. Consonantal Elements.** The codes are formed by consonantal, not vocalic, elements. The structures that most persist systematically in a linguistic system are consonants; although they may change, they can be grouped by structural affinity.
- 3. Systemic Equivalences.** There are approximate systemic equivalences in consonantal sounds. Different substrates will generate structurally similar codes but with nuances specific to the particular language. Vowels are insignificant at the systemic level but fundamental within each language as a phenomenon.
- 4. Systemic Combinatorial.** The codes present systemic combinatorial relations, including inversion and transposition.
- 5. Insertion of Basic Elements.** Basic elements are inserted into binaries to form ternaries.

6. **Formation of Ternaries.** Ternaries are formed from the combination of binaries.
7. **Flow of Codes.** Codes experience processes of concealment, modification, and reappearance of elements.
8. **Comparative Linguistics.** The study of these codes cannot be effectively conducted from a single language. Comparative linguistics is necessary to obtain verifiable results.

### Endolinguistic Codes as Logical-Mathematical Models

Endolinguistic codes are fundamental analytical models in endolinguistics based on logical and mathematical principles. These codes are not merely grammatical rules or syntactic structures but represent a deeper level of organization and functioning of language. It is essential to emphasize that we are not talking about syntactic structures, as they operate in a more complex structure called a sentence or phrase. Unlike linguistics, which recognizes morphemes and grammemes as the most basic elements of language, endolinguistics goes further and requires more abstract sets or monadic elements.

The term "endolinguistic" refers to something that occurs or exists within language itself (Elias Meulemans, 1994). Therefore, these codes seek to discover and describe the internal structures and relationships within a particular linguistic system, mainly in a psychological and philosophical context, as conceptual interpretation of binary and ternary codes is necessary since they are not words in themselves but abstract models.

By describing these codes as logical-mathematical models, their abstract nature and ability to represent complex relationships systematically and comprehensively are emphasized.

## Definition and Purpose of a Model

A model, in this context, is a simplified representation of reality used to understand, explain, or predict complex phenomena. In the case of endolinguistic codes, the models are abstractions that represent the underlying structures and relationships in a word.

These models are "abstractions" because they are not exact replicas of linguistic reality but simplified representations that capture the most important or relevant aspects for analysis. Abstraction allows focusing on essential elements without getting lost in less significant details.

The "methodological" use of these models implies that they are applied systematically and structurally as part of an endolinguistic research or analysis process. They are not casual or arbitrary tools but carefully studied and applied instruments to achieve specific objectives in the study of endolanguage.

## Application in Endolinguistic Stimulation

Endolinguistic stimulation is a process or technique that seeks to activate and enhance an individual's internal linguistic abilities. During a session of this type, endolinguistic codes are used as tools to access the "deep levels" of a language.

These deep levels refer to the underlying structures, hidden semantic relationships, and organizational patterns that are not evident in everyday language use. By using these codes, we aim to go beyond the surface of language to explore its internal functioning and more subtle connections.

The deep levels of a language, according to endolinguistics, are factual (sprechen, speaking), hermeneutic (sagen, saying), dianoetic (thinking, logical), and ethical (intuiting, metalogical).

## Revelation of Unconscious Relationships

One of the most fascinating aspects of endolinguistic codes is their ability to reveal relationships between words or concepts that previously seemed unrelated. This process of discovery has both linguistic and psychological implications.

By "bringing unconscious relationships to consciousness," these codes allow language users (whether linguists, therapists, or language learners) to perceive connections that were present in the linguistic system but not evident in everyday language use.

## Codes as Specific Patterns Denoting Logical and Psychic Relationships

The "specific pattern" mentioned refers to the particular structure of the endolinguistic code being used. This pattern acts as a lens through which language is examined, revealing relationships that might otherwise go unnoticed.

The "logical relationships" discovered can include etymological connections, word formation patterns, or underlying grammatical structures that certain apparently unrelated words share.

The "psychic relationships," on the other hand, refer to the mental and emotional associations that may exist between words or concepts. These relationships can reveal aspects of cognitive psychology and how the brain organizes and processes linguistic information. For other areas of study, the discovery of collective unconscious traumas manifested in a language is of great importance for analyzing the collective unconscious.

In summary, endolinguistic codes are sophisticated tools of linguistic analysis that, when applied methodologically, allow exploration of the depths of language, revealing connections and structures that normally remain hidden. This process not only enriches our understanding of language as a system but can also have significant implications for cognition, language learning, and possibly even linguistic therapy.

## Methodology of Endolinguistic Stimulation

The methodology of endolinguistic stimulation is an innovative approach in the field of linguistics and neuroscience that seeks to optimize language learning and use by specifically activating certain brain areas. This methodology is based on the understanding that language is not just a verbal process but involves multiple aspects of cognition and human expression.

Main Components of Endolinguistic Stimulation:

### **Logical Stimulation:**

- The methodology uses logical and structured patterns to stimulate the brain. This can include exercises in reasoning, analysis of linguistic patterns, and solving complex linguistic problems.

### **2. Target Brain Areas:**

- **Prosody:** Refers to the rhythmic and intonational aspects of speech. The stimulation of this area aims to enhance the ability to understand and produce the tonal nuances of language.
- **Rhythm:** Related to the cadence and tempo of speech. Its stimulation can improve fluency and naturalness in the use of multiple languages.
- **Musicality:** This aspect relates to the melody of speech and can influence expressiveness and emotion in language.
- **Non-verbal Language:** Includes gestures, facial expressions, and body language. Its stimulation seeks to improve integral communication.

### **3. Conceptual Integration:**

- Integration refers to the deep understanding of word meanings at various levels of language. This involves ensuring that participants not only grasp the surface meaning of words but also the underlying conceptual relationships within the language they are learning.

## Profound Levels of a Language According to Endolinguistics

Endolinguistics proposes that a language operates on four deep levels, each with specific characteristics and functions:

### 1. **Factual Level (Sprechen, Speaking):**

- This is the most basic and observable level of language.
- It refers to the physical act of producing sounds and words.
- It involves the mechanics of speech: articulation, pronunciation, and sound production.
- This level pertains to everyday practical communication.

### 2. **Hermeneutic Level (Sagen, Saying):**

- Goes beyond the simple act of speaking and focuses on meaning.
- It involves the interpretation and understanding of language.
- This level concerns how messages are transmitted and understood.
- It includes aspects such as context, connotations, and the nuances of language.

### 3. **Dianoetic Level (Thinking, Logical):**

- This level delves into the cognitive processes behind language.
- It is related to logical reasoning and structured thinking.
- This level involves the ability to form concepts, make deductions, and construct arguments.
- It is crucial for critical thinking and deep linguistic analysis.

### 4. **Ethical Level (Intuiting, Metalingual):**

- The most profound and abstract level of language.
- It is related to linguistic intuition and metalinguistic understanding.
- This level involves the capacity to reflect on language itself.
- It includes philosophical and ethical aspects of language use.
- This level may involve the understanding of abstract concepts and the creation of new meanings.

The methodology of endolinguistic stimulation seeks to activate and develop these four levels in an integrated manner. By doing so, it is hoped that language learners or users can not only speak correctly (factual level) but also understand and express deep meanings (hermeneutic level), think critically in that language (dianoetic level), and finally intuit and create with language in a sophisticated manner (ethical level).

This holistic approach to language promises not only to improve linguistic skills but also to enrich the cognitive and expressive capacities of the individual in all aspects related to language and communication.

## Endolinguistic Poiesis

Let's explain how endolinguistic codes function in the context of systemic reproduction and linguistic poiesis.

### 1. Systemic Reproduction of Endolinguistic Codes:

- Endolinguistic codes are models that do not exist in isolation but operate within a broader linguistic system. This systemic reproduction refers to how these codes are replicated, adapted, and evolve through different languages that share a common origin or have been in prolonged contact.

The reproduction occurs in several ways:

- **Linguistic Inheritance:** Codes are transmitted from an originating language to its derivative languages. It is important to note that for us, there are no language families, but rather systems. A language may have an apparent mother tongue, but various other substrate languages that remain part of the new language.
- **Linguistic Borrowing:** Codes are shared between languages in contact.
- **Linguistic Convergence:** Unrelated languages develop similar codes due to mutual influences.



- **Parallel Evolution:** Separate languages develop similar codes due to common cognitive processes, though not universal.

## 2. **Poiesis in the Linguistic Context:**

- *Poiesis*, from the Greek "ποίησις", means "creation" or "production." In the linguistic context, it refers to the creative process through which language is continually generated and regenerated. This process is not merely a mechanical reproduction but a dynamic creation that involves both the preservation of existing structures and innovation.

Linguistic poiesis involves:

- The generation of new expressions from existing structures.
- The adaptation of codes to new contexts and communicative needs.
- The creation of new meanings and associations within the linguistic system.

## 3. **Psycho-historical and Logical-mathematical Foundation:**

- The psycho-historical foundation refers to how these codes are rooted in the cognitive and cultural history of speakers. They reflect the evolution of human thought and collective experiences over time.
- The logical-mathematical aspect indicates that these codes follow structured and predictable patterns, which can be modeled and analyzed using tools from logic, mathematics, and psychology.

## 4. **Sharing and Reuse of Codes:**

- Endolinguistic codes are not exclusive to a single language but are shared by languages that belong to the same linguistic system. This helps explain why related languages often have similar grammatical structures, phonological patterns, or semantic constructions. However, in our endolinguistic approach, these relationships are secondary to our study. The fundamental focus is

finding the systemic relationship between the codes. The fact that these codes are shared by a group of related languages invites us to investigate the relationship between macrosystems when we find a coincidental relationship between codes that are historically distant or have no proven contact.

### Internal Creativity of the Brain and Poietic Reproduction

The human brain not only stores and reproduces language but constantly recreates it. This internal creativity uses endolinguistic codes as a base or "deep grammar" (Chomsky, 1965) to generate new linguistic expressions. It is a dynamic process that allows linguistic innovation while maintaining the structural coherence of language. It is important to emphasize that in another conference, we can explain how our approach to this deep grammar addresses some challenges presented by the universality of structures.

### Paralinguistic Substrates and Differentiation

While endolinguistic codes provide the underlying structure, paralinguistic elements are responsible for the apparent "surface" uniqueness of each language. These include:

- **Rhythm:** The cadence and tempo characteristic of a language.
- **Tone:** The variations in tone that can change meaning or intent.
- **Non-verbal Elements:** Gestures, facial expressions, and body language that accompany speech.

These paralinguistic elements are crucial for giving each language its unique "flavor," even when they share similar structural codes with other languages.

## Construction of Language

Language, as a complete tool according to the endolinguistic proposal, is a combination of:

1. **Structural Codes:** The deep patterns and rules that govern the formation and use of language. Here, not only the codes proposed by us but also all other structures proposed by modern linguistics come into play.
2. **Paralinguistic suprasegments:** The elements that overlay the basic structure and give each language its distinctive character.

This duality explains how languages can be both similar in their deep structure (due to shared endolinguistic codes) and different in their surface manifestation (due to unique paralinguistic elements).

In summary, endolinguistic codes act as a "linguistic DNA" that reproduces and evolves across different languages, while paralinguistic elements act as "phenotypic expressions" that give each language its unique identity.

This dynamic process of endolinguistic poiesis ensures that language is both stable in its structure and flexible in its expression, allowing for both effective communication and linguistic creativity.

## Codes, Prosody, and Psychic Elements in Language Formation

It is crucial to understand that while endolinguistic codes are powerful tools for analyzing language, they are not sufficient by themselves to form a complete human language. Human language is a complex system that requires several interconnected components:

1. **Codes:** Provide the logical structure and basic rules of endolanguage.
2. **Prosody:** Refers to the rhythmic and melodic aspects of speech, including accent, intonation, and rhythm. Prosody is essential for conveying meaning beyond words themselves, communicating emotions, intentions, and subtle nuances.

- 3. Underlying Psychic Elements:** These include the cognitive, emotional, and cultural aspects that influence how we use and understand language. They range from mental associations we make with certain words to cultural connotations that add depth to meaning.

The interaction of these three elements creates a rich and functional human language. Codes provide the skeleton, prosody adds the flesh, and psychic elements infuse the soul into the language.

#### Intersystemic Metalogic and Comparability Between Macrosystemic Codes

Intersystemic metalogic is an advanced concept that refers to the logic governing relationships between different logical systems. In the context of endolinguistic codes, this allows us to establish connections and comparisons between different linguistic macrosystems.

#### **Key Characteristics:**

- 1. Comparability, Not Equivalence:** It is important to note that intersystemic metalogic does not establish a direct equivalence between codes of different macrosystems. Instead, it provides a framework for identifying and analyzing similar or related patterns across different linguistic systems.
- 2. Common Psychic Patterns:** Through this comparability, it is possible to identify psychic patterns that are common between different linguistic macrosystems. These patterns can reveal fundamental aspects of how humans structure thought and language, offering insights into the universal cognitive processes that underlie diverse languages.
- 3. Non-universality:** While common patterns may be found, it is crucial to recognize that these are not necessarily universal. Each linguistic system has its own unique characteristics and variations, reflecting the diversity of human language. This suggests that while there may be shared cognitive structures, they manifest differently across languages, leading to what might be termed "multiversal" relationships rather than universal ones.

## Factors in Macro-Systemic Comparability

When comparing linguistic macrosystems, several challenges and considerations must be taken into account:

1. **Historical (Temporal) Factors:** The evolution of languages over time, including changes in usage and meaning, as well as cultural and historical influences, plays a significant role in shaping linguistic structures. Any analysis using endolinguistic codes must consider these temporal dynamics.
2. **Structural Factors:** Inherent characteristics of language structure, such as grammar, syntax, and word formation rules, must also be accounted for. These structural elements can either support or complicate the comparison of codes between different languages.
3. **Challenges in Affirmation:** One of the key challenges in macro-systemic comparability is the difficulty of making definitive statements or affirmations about relationships between codes from different linguistic systems. These challenges are particularly evident at the macro-systemic level due to the complexity and fundamental differences between systems.
4. **Cultural Context:** Differences in cultural context can significantly affect how languages are structured and used, making direct comparisons more challenging. Cultural factors must be carefully considered to avoid misinterpretations or oversimplifications.
5. **Internal Variations:** Each linguistic macrosystem may have multiple variants or dialects, adding further layers of complexity to any comparative analysis. These internal variations must be recognized and accounted for to avoid inaccurate generalizations.
6. **Linguistic Evolution:** Languages are in constant evolution, meaning that any comparison must consider the current state and historical trajectory of each system.

In conclusion, while endolinguistic codes provide a powerful tool for linguistic analysis, it is crucial to recognize that human language is a multifaceted phenomenon that requires the integration of structural, prosodic, and psychic elements. Intersystemic metalogic offers a framework for comparing linguistic macrosystems, but such comparisons should be approached with caution, acknowledging the inherent limitations and challenges of this analysis. This holistic approach allows us to appreciate the richness and complexity of human language in all its diversity.

## **Collaboration with General Linguistics**

### **1. Complementarity with General Linguistics:**

It is crucial to understand that endolinguistic codes are not an isolated system or in competition with traditional linguistics. On the contrary, they build on established linguistic knowledge and complement it. This complementarity is manifested in several ways:

**a) Integration of Knowledge:** Endolinguistic codes incorporate and build on the discoveries and principles of general linguistics, using them as a starting point for deeper analysis.

**b) Broadening the Focus:** While traditional linguistics focuses on the structural and functional aspects of language, endolinguistic codes seek to explore the deeper psychological and cultural dimensions of language.

**c) Mutual Enrichment:** Findings derived from the use of endolinguistic codes can, in turn, inform and enrich traditional linguistic studies, providing new perspectives on linguistic phenomena.

### **2. Relationship with Branches of Linguistics:**

As mentioned, endolinguistic codes do not contradict the findings of different branches of linguistics. Instead, they rely on them:

- a) **Morphology:** The codes can use morphological knowledge to analyze how word structures reflect deeper patterns of meaning and association.
- b) **Syntax:** Understanding syntactic structures can be crucial for identifying endolinguistic patterns in the organization of phrases and sentences.
- c) **Phonetics:** The sound aspects of language, studied by phonetics, can be incorporated into the codes to explore connections based on phonetic similarities or sound patterns.
- d) **Semantics:** The study of meaning is fundamental to endolinguistic codes, which seek to uncover deep semantic relationships between words and concepts.

### 3. Psychic Context and Rigorous Use:

The framing and use of endolinguistic codes in a group psychic context is a fundamental aspect:

- a) **Methodological Rigor:** Despite dealing with psychic and cultural aspects, the use of these codes requires a rigorous and systematic approach to maintain their scientific validity.
- b) **Group Context:** The focus on the "psychic context of a human group" suggests that these codes are not only applied at the individual level but seek to understand linguistic patterns shared by entire communities.
- c) **Collective Unconscious:** Endolinguistic codes can reveal deeply rooted linguistic structures in the collective psyche of a culture.

### 4. Expansion from the Individual Language to the Macrosystem:

This concept is particularly interesting and deserves further exploration:

- a) **Individual Language as a Starting Point:** The codes begin by analyzing a specific language, exploring its internal structures and unique patterns.

**b) Expansion to the Macrosystem:** From there, the analysis expands to consider how these patterns relate to broader linguistic systems, possibly including what linguistics calls entire language families or even universal patterns of language.

**c) Intercultural Perspective:** This expansion allows for a deeper understanding of how different languages and cultures may share underlying psychic structures, reflected in their respective linguistic systems.

**d) Implications for Comparative Linguistics:** This approach could provide new tools and perspectives for the comparative study of languages, revealing connections that go beyond superficial or historical similarities.

In summary, endolinguistic codes represent a sophisticated and multidisciplinary approach to the study of language, integrating traditional linguistic knowledge with deeper psychological and cultural perspectives. Their rigorous application in group contexts and their ability to expand from specific languages to broader systems offer significant potential to enrich our understanding of how language reflects and shapes the collective human experience.

## **Description and Characteristics of the Codes**

### **Binary and Ternary codes:**

Endolinguistics, a discipline that studies the internal structures of language, has discovered that linguistic codes can be classified into two main types: binary and ternary. This classification is based on the number of elements that make up each code.

Binary codes are composed of two elements, while ternary codes consist of three. It is important to note that there are no single-element codes in this system. Although individual elements with a single consonant sound can generate certain psychic sensations, these are based on the macro-system used and do not constitute a code by themselves. It is only when these elements are combined into binary or ternary structures that they form true linguistic codes.



The psychic sensations mentioned refer to the impressions or mental effects that sounds can evoke in the listener. These sensations are common within a specific linguistic system and may vary between different systems or languages. It is essential to explain these sounds in depth, which I have proposed to call monadic sensations, specifically in their interaction with binary codes.

## **Consonantal Elements for Model Development**

A fundamental feature of these codes is that they are modeled exclusively using consonantal elements, excluding vowel sounds. This suggests that consonants play a crucial role in meaning formation and information transmission in this system of linguistic codes.

This characteristic is notable because, in many traditional linguistic systems, both vowels and consonants are considered equally important for word formation and meaning. The exclusion of vowels in this coding system indicates a focus on the "skeletal" structure of language, where consonants provide the primary framework of meaning.

## **Structural Affinity**

In this system, it is recognized that there are approximate equivalences between different consonantal sounds. This means that certain sounds, although not identical, can function similarly within the coding system.

Linguistic substrates, which refer to the underlying influences of a language (such as its history, culture, or earlier languages that have influenced it), are responsible for generating codes with structural affinity. This implies that different languages may have codes that function similarly but with nuances reflecting the particularities of each specific language. This feature suggests a certain universality in the deep structure of language, while recognizing linguistic diversity on the surface.

## **Combinatorics of Codes**

The codes in this system are not static; rather, they exhibit combinatorial relationships in their elements. This means that the elements forming the codes can be combined in various ways following specific rules of the system.

These combinations allow for the creation of a wide variety of codes from a limited number of elements, greatly increasing the system's expressive capacity.

### **Insertion of Elements**

The insertion of monadic elements into a binary code is another important feature. This implies that new fundamental elements can be introduced into existing binary codes, modifying their structure and possibly their meaning.

This feature provides flexibility to the system, allowing the codes to evolve and adapt to new expressive needs.

### **Integration of Sets**

The system allows for the formation of ternary codes (of three elements) from two binary codes. This process of combining and expanding codes adds complexity and richness to the system.

This feature suggests a hierarchy or layered structure within the coding system, where more complex structures can be built from simpler elements. This models how more complex linguistic structures are constructed from basic units in natural languages.

### **Dynamics of Codes**

The flow of codes involves dynamic processes such as the hiding, modification, and reappearance of elements. Codes are not static entities; they can transform and evolve. The hiding and reappearance of elements refer to how certain aspects of meaning can be latent or manifest in different contexts, especially hidden by prosody. Here we describe the participation of paralinguistics in language formation where a code has been partially hidden.

Overall, these characteristics describe a complex and dynamic system of linguistic codes, with multiple layers of structure and meaning. This system seems to seek universal patterns in the deep structure of language while recognizing the diversity and flexibility of linguistic expressions.

## **Polyglot Study of Endolinguistic Codes**

### **Limitations of Monolingual Study**

The study of these codes based on a single language would be inherently limited and potentially misleading. Each individual language has its own particularities, idiosyncrasies, and historical evolution that could obscure the more universal patterns sought in this type of analysis. Focusing on a single language risks confusing specific features of that language with "universal" principles of language.

### **Need for Comparative Linguistics**

Comparative linguistics, which involves the systematic study of multiple languages to identify similarities and differences, is essential for this type of research for several reasons:

- a) Identification of Universal Patterns:** By comparing multiple languages, it is possible to distinguish between features that are specific to one language or linguistic family and those that are common to many or all languages. This is crucial for identifying the truly fundamental codes of the macrosystem.
- b) Hypothesis Validation:** Theories about these codes can be tested and validated across different languages. If a pattern or code is consistently observed in unrelated languages, it increases the likelihood that it is a common linguistic phenomenon in the system and not a coincidence.
- c) Understanding Variations:** Comparative linguistics allows for understanding how these codes may vary across languages, providing information about their flexibility and adaptability.

**d) Revealing Deep Connections:** Comparing languages can reveal connections and similarities that are not evident on the surface, which is especially important when dealing with codes that operate at a deep level of linguistic structure.

### **Methodological Considerations**

The use of comparative linguistics in this context requires careful methodology:

**a) Controlling Variables:** It is important to control for factors such as linguistic contact and mutual influence between languages.

**b) Diachronic Analysis:** Considering the historical evolution of languages can provide insights into the development and persistence of these codes.

**c) Consideration of Extralinguistic Factors:** Cultural, historical, and cognitive factors must be taken into account when interpreting the similarities and differences observed.

### **Implications for Linguistic Theory**

The comparative study of these codes presents significant **Implications for Linguistic Theory**

The comparative study of these codes presents significant implications for our understanding of linguistic universals, the deep structure of language, and potentially even theories about the origin and evolution of human language. By identifying patterns that are consistent across diverse languages, researchers can gain insights into the fundamental principles that govern language, which may transcend specific linguistic systems.

**a) Reevaluation of Universals:** The discovery of universal patterns in endolinguistic codes could lead to a reevaluation of what is considered a linguistic universal. These patterns might suggest that certain cognitive or psychological structures underlie all human languages, providing a deeper understanding of the human linguistic capacity.

**b) Influence on Syntax and Semantics Theories:** The insights gained from studying endolinguistic codes could influence existing theories of syntax and semantics. For instance, if certain code patterns are found to be common across languages, this could challenge or refine current syntactic theories, suggesting new ways of understanding sentence structure and meaning.

**c) Contributions to Evolutionary Linguistics:** The study of these codes could also contribute to evolutionary linguistics by offering new perspectives on how language may have evolved. If these codes represent fundamental cognitive structures, their presence across languages could indicate that they played a role in the early development of language, potentially offering clues about the cognitive processes involved in language evolution.


**d) Interdisciplinary Insights:** Finally, the interdisciplinary nature of endolinguistic codes, which integrates linguistics, psychology, and cultural studies, could lead to new collaborations between these fields. The exploration of how language reflects and shapes the human experience might benefit from a more holistic approach, combining insights from different disciplines to build a more comprehensive theory of language.

## **Conclusion**

Endolinguistic codes represent a sophisticated and innovative approach to understanding language, offering a unique perspective that bridges traditional linguistic analysis with deeper psychological and cultural dimensions. By focusing on the internal structures of language, these codes reveal patterns that may be universal, providing valuable insights into the nature of human language.

The comparative study of these codes across multiple languages is essential for identifying these universal patterns, validating hypotheses, and understanding the variations and connections between different linguistic systems. The implications of this research are far-reaching, offering potential advancements in linguistic theory, cognitive science, and our understanding of the human mind.

This approach challenges us to think beyond the surface level of language and consider the deeper structures that govern linguistic expression. It encourages a more holistic view of language, one that appreciates the complexity, diversity, and shared cognitive foundations that underpin human communication. By continuing to explore and refine these endolinguistic codes, we can gain a richer understanding of how language functions and how it connects us as human beings across cultures and time.

Shield:  License CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



## Bibliography

Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*. The Hague: Mouton.

Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chomsky, N. (1995). *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

C.S. Meulemans, J.A. Elias, D. Elias Meulemans (1994). *Introducción a la endolingüística, Decaglotas I*

Derrida, J. (1967). *De la grammatologie*. Paris: Minuit.

Greenberg, J. H. (1963). *Universals of Language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Halliday, M. A. K. (1985). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar*. London: Edward Arnold.

Heidegger, M. (1959). *Unterwegs zur Sprache* [Camino hacia el habla]. Pfullingen: Neske.

Heidegger, Martin. (1936). *El origen de la obra de arte*. Madrid: Alianza Editorial.

Hjelmslev, L. (1961). *Prolegomena to a Theory of Language*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Hinton, G., & Bengio, Y. (2003). *Learning Multilayer Perceptrons*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Jakobson, R. (1962). *Selected Writings, Vol. 1: Phonological Studies*. The Hague: Mouton.

Lacan, J. (1966). *Écrits*. Paris: Seuil.

Labov, W. (1972). *Sociolinguistic Patterns*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Montague, R. (1974). *Formal Philosophy: Selected Papers of Richard Montague*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

Morin, E. (1977). *La Méthode*. Paris: Seuil.

Pinker, S. (1994). *The Language Instinct: How the Mind Creates Language*. New York: HarperCollins.

Saussure, F. de. (1916). *Cours de linguistique générale [Course in General Linguistics]*. Paris: Payot.

Searle, J. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Sinclair, J. (1991). *Corpus, Concordance, Collocation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.